

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,912	Applicant(s) BLANCHE ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian Whiteman	Art Unit 1635	

All Participants:

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(2) Jessica Roark.

Status of Application: Non-Final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

obvious type double patenting

Claims discussed:

10-12,15-19

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6734008


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The TD filed 3/10/06 listed the incorrect US patent. Examiner requested a TD listing the US patent

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)